


BRUCE WILLIAMS

# COLD KILLS, WARMTH GIVES LIFE



THERE IS MORE TO CLIMATE  
CHANGE THAN GLOBAL WARMING

**COLD KILLS**  
**WARMTH GIVES LIFE**

**BRUCE WILLIAMS**

Copyright © 2018 by Bruce Williams.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, digital scanning, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical reviews and certain other noncommercial uses permitted by copyright law. For permission requests, write to the publisher, addressed "Attention: Permissions Coordinator," to the address below.

The permission address is  
Permissions  
PO Box 3938  
Gillette, WY 82717

ISBN Paperback: 978-1-7358771-0-5

ISBN Electronic: 978-1-7358771-1-2

Library of Congress Control Number: 2020920001

Printed in the United States of America.

# Dedication

Dedicated to the scientific process and  
the search for truth.



# Contents

Introduction .....	vii
1 The Pascal's Wager Fallacy .....	1
2 All The Fundamental Laws Of Physics ...	5
3 The Culprits – Temperature And CO <sub>2</sub> ....	9
4 Plants: Our Life Sustainers & Partners...	13
5 Low Carbon Dioxide And Plants .....	17
6 Decreasing Temperature .....	21
7 Combinations? .....	27
8 How Is Oxygen Doing? .....	33
9 How Are The Plants Doing? .....	37
10 Evidence of Warming Extinctions? .....	43
11 What About The Rate Of Climate Change? .....	45
12 Sea Level Change .....	49
13 Five Hundred ppm .....	53
14 Orbital unknown and 560ppm .....	57
15 Present Day Geological Activity .....	63
16 What are we Looking at? .....	69
17 What are our options? .....	75
18 Sources & Notes.....	91



# Introduction

Welcome to the “560” book. I hope you will find this information both informative and as a guide to helping all mankind.

This is in no way an attempt to deny that carbon dioxide is a greenhouse gas and warms up when exposed to infrared radiation. Neither is it an attempt to deny that increasing carbon dioxide in the atmosphere changes the climate; or to argue that our climate is not changing. Denying premises backed by experimental results is not only a waste of time but counterproductive. Alternatively, questioning how known laws are applied is the foundation of all sciences.

I have written this not as a paper for peer review but rather as a book for those who want to know a little more about the technical information without being swamped by it.

In the section **Sources & Notes** you will find my references which are books, peer-reviewed published articles, or information from government and educational websites.

The objective of this book is to show what the errors are that explain the four conditions below, why they are dangerous to the point of possible



elimination of life on earth, and what can be done about the situation we are in.

Unfortunately, politicians and others chose the most dangerous path possible. This path is dangerous because:

1. Cold kills: warmth gives life.
2. Carbon dioxide enhances plant and animal life on earth.
3. The earth is headed into uncharted astronomical waters.
4. We may not be able to stop the warming because the primary driver may not be carbon dioxide.

As we are all aware, there has been a bit of paranoia around the planet for the last 40 years. This irrational fear was labeled global warming and like all fear campaigns it has some basis in truth but is mostly a psychological war to encourage people to do something. The idea is to get people to use fewer fossil fuels and instead use “renewable” power sources.

There is not one single factor driving this irrational fear, but all the factors are associated with money. Whether it is a desire to extend political power and influence, gain funding for research, ego massaging, gaining more manufacturing diversity, growing the economy, or whatever, there are many people who see it as an opportunity and jump on the band wagon. What you must understand is there are a lot of people

with either a monetary or ego stake in what the movement is doing and, right or wrong, it is not going away.



---

---

## *Chapter 1*

---

---

# The Pascal's Wager Fallacy

**S**eventeenth century philosopher, theologian, mathematician, and physicist, Blaise Pascal, theorized that humans should be willing to bet their lives on whether or not God existed<sup>1</sup>. Pascals wager had one major flaw, if you select the wrong God then the true God would punish you. In other words limiting yourself to only 2 options when there are multiple options is flawed. One of the earliest environmental arguments was applying Pascal's Wager to global warming. In this case the logic was:

1. Continue burning fossil fuels - which leads to global warming - and you cause a major catastrophe.
2. Quit burning fossil fuels - which leads to global warming - and you have prevented a major catastrophe.

3. Continue burning fossil fuels - which does not lead to global warming - and no harm is done.
4. Quit burning fossil fuels - which does not lead to global warming - and no harm is done.

Obviously, this would indicate that to stop burning fossil fuels leads to two good outcomes. Continuing to burn fossil fuels leads to one bad and one good outcome. The one bad outcome plus the other three good outcomes shows that the obvious path is to stop burning fossil fuels - at least in this simple picture.

You will notice that no one asked, “What happens if we stop burning fossil fuels and it resulted in harm? Would it be more harmful than more carbon dioxide in the air?”

Of course, everyone said, “Pshaw - there’s nothing in our understanding of the laws of physics that can do that. You are just theorizing and have nothing to back up your story. The harm is in warming up the earth and melting all the ice and making more storms and hurricanes and all that. This is true because the scientists told us so.”

The serious scientists never said such a thing. They said - I don’t know - could be, could not be, we don’t know for sure.

But the politician’s response was, “Leaders sometimes need to act quickly with incomplete information.” So, they started handing out money and organizing people to grab more power for

themselves and encouraging other politicians to fight this global warming epidemic. There were going to be carbon credits to buy and sell, limits on this and that, requirements to buy certain amounts of new technology, etc.

And all the world's great knights in shining armor ran off to slay the "Dragon of Global Warming" and never looked back.

But the nerdy people did look back, as they often do, and began to ask questions. Questions such as, "Why aren't there more hurricanes, or at least more powerful hurricanes? Why wasn't the ice melting as much as it should? Why didn't the atmosphere warm up like the climate models (equations) said it should? Why did all the climate models (equations) raise the temperature of the earth more than what was actually occurring? Why wasn't the ocean rising like it should have?" And many more.